

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,641	09/06/2000	Francois Rey	11345.026001	4899
22511 7	7590 11/12/2003	EXAMINER		INER
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY AVENUE			MAURO JR, THOMAS J	
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2143	6
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003	$\mathcal{L}_{3}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/623,641	REY, FRANCOIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Mauro Jr.	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, converged to the convergence of the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinvithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	ptember 2000 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.	-14					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 September 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	,,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 are pending. A formal action on the merits for claims 1-17 follows.

#### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in European (EPO) on 03/06/1998. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 98400541.3 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

## **Drawings**

3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because drawings fail to clearly show the details of the applicant's invention. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the memory" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Regarding claim 12, the word "may" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention or not.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2143

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,163,316 to Killian.

With respect to claim 1, Killian teaches a terminal for processing digital audio-visual or multimedia data including a data processing system and a memory [Killian -- Figure 1, Figure 3, Col. 3 lines 7-10 and 50, Col. 8 lines 49-52 and Col. 9 lines 14-15 – JAVA-T.V., i.e. data processing terminal, contains both on-board memory for storage and a database], characterized in that the data processing system stores in the memory user profile data relating to the characteristics or preferences of a plurality of types of user of the terminal [Killian -- Figure 3 and Col. 9 lines 10-15 – Viewer profiles are stored in a database].

With respect to claim 2, Killian further teaches where the user profile is defined in relation to a mode of operation of the terminal [Killiam -- Col. 8 lines 65-67 - Col. 9 lines 1-9 - During one mode, profiles are accessed when T.V. is in electronic program guide, EPG, mode].

With respect to claim 3, Killian further teaches where the user profile is defined in relation to the connection of an external device [Killian -- Figure 1 and Col. 3 lines 7-12 - External device, i.e. VCR is connected to JAVA-TV].

Art Unit: 2143

With respect to claim 4, Killian further teaches where a user profile is personalized in relation to the identity of the operator [Killian -- Col. 9 lines 15-19 - Each user in the household would have a separate profile].

With respect to claim 5, Killian further teaches where the user profile data includes resource data indicating the resources within the terminal accessible by each user [Killian -- Col. 14 lines 42-49 - Viewing habits of users can be controlled by others, i.e. parents, to block out certain programs or TV. listings].

With respect to claim 7, Killian further teaches where the user profile data comprises data relating to the attributes of information to be supplied to each user [Killian -- Col. 12 lines 32-53 - User can specify in his/her profile, color codes to be displayed in electronic program guide].

With respect to claim 8, Killian further teaches where the user profile data comprises data relating to the actions permitted by each user [Killian -- Col. 14 lines 49-61 - User profile, i.e. child profile, could have certain channels blocked during certain times of the day or when certain types of programming are being aired].

With respect to claim 9, Killian further teaches where some or all of the characteristics or preferences of the user profile data are modifiable during normal operation of the terminal by an

Art Unit: 2143

operator [Killian -- Col. 9 lines 19-22 - Non-child viewers of the electronic program guide (EPG) can modify profile at any time during operation].

With respect to claim 10, Killian further teaches where some or all of the user profile data is predetermined by the data processing system of the terminal [Killian -- Col. 9 lines 26-29 and 43-46 - T.V. has predetermined templates which are presented to the user].

With respect to claim 11, Killian further teaches where the terminal comprises a data processing system comprising, a virtual machine and an object oriented application interface layer comprising a plurality of class libraries [Killian -- Figure 2 and Col. 6 lines 6-31 - JAVA implements the system using Java Virtual Machine and supporting classes, i.e. libraries].

With respect to claim 12, Killian further teaches a terminal in which the application interface layer may comprise a class libraries defining the operation of the virtual machine with respect to user profile data [Killian -- Col. 7 lines 49-53 - API allows the construction and modification of viewer profiles].

With respect to claim 16, Killian further teaches a terminal comprising a decoder adapted to receive data transmissions in a digital transmission system [Killian -- Col. 3 lines 12-18 and Col. 4 lines 20-38 – Decoder signal processes digital data and integrates television and internet signals].

Art Unit: 2143

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.

5,005,084 to Skinner.

With respect to claim 17, Skinner teaches a method of operation of a terminal for

processing digital audio-visual or multimedia data including a data processing system and a

cache memory [Skinner -- Col. 3 lines 25-30 - Non-volatile memory, i.e. cache memory]

characterized by the step of storing in the terminal memory user profile relating to the

preferences of a plurality of users of the terminal [Skinner -- Col. 3 lines 62-64].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killian in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,862,325 to Reed et al.

Regarding claim 6, Killian teaches a terminal along with user profile data [Killian -- Col. 3 lines 7-10 and Col. 9 lines 10-15 - T.V., i.e. terminal, and user profiles]. Killian fails to teach priority data indicating the priority of each user with respect to accessing terminal resources. Reed, however, teaches controlling access to the resources of a system based upon a user's priority [Reed -- Col. 130 lines 52-57 - Users with higher priority are given precedence over other users for access to the resources of a system].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include regulating access to resources based upon user priority as taught by Reed into the invention of Killian, in order to protect one user accessing a given resource from another use trying to access and take control of the same resource.

13. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,163,316 to Killian in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 13, Killian teaches a terminal in which the application interface layer comprises class libraries [Killian -- Col. 7 lines 49-53 – API contains class libraries for JAVA-TV and profiles]. Killian fails to teach a library dedicated to memory management of user profile data in the memory of the terminal.

AAPA, however, teaches that such a memory management class library comes standard with

Art Unit: 2143

object oriented programming architectures, i.e. Java [AAPA -- Page 16 lines 4-9].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included this class library for managing memory as taught by AAPA into the invention of Killian in order to allow the program running on the JAVA-TV to access the memory for profiles of different users to display their respective preferences.

Regarding claim 14, Killian teaches the invention substantially as claimed, a terminal in which the application interface layer comprises a user profile class library adapted to define the characteristics of the data to be stored in the user profiles [Killian -- Col. 7 lines 49-58 - API contains a class for constructing viewer profiles, which implicitly contains information that defines what data will be customized and stored for the user].

# Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2143

- Nickum (U.S. Patent No. 6,359,661) discloses a system for controlling the
  programming of a television for multiple users by setting up separate profiles using
  access codes within a remote control.
- DiChiara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,858,117) discloses a system of preventing unauthorized computer access of externally controlled devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Mauro Jr. whose telephone number is 703-605-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00a.m. - 4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

October 29, 2003

BUHJOB JAROÈNCHONWANIT